

| | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/812,261 | WAKUMOTO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | PRENELL P. JONES | 2619 | |

All Participants:

(1) PRENELL P. JONES.

(2) Applicants Rep. (Mr. James Okamoto).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 February 2008

Time: 1:30 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
16 and 17


Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant authorized Examiner to cancel claims 16 and 17 via Examiners amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)